DCCS326 Korea University 2019 Fall

# Convergence Informatics



#### Chapter 9 (Week 5)

Statistical hypothesis testing Asst. Prof. Minseok Seo

mins@korea.ac.kr

# KOREA UNIVERSITY JOJS

#### Contents

#### Hypothesis testing

- 🔹 🍃 Main idea
  - > Two types of Hypothesis
  - > Two types of Errors
  - Confusion Matrix
  - Standard Error
  - Test statistic (Z statistic)
  - ➤ P-value
  - Significance Level
  - Power & Sample size

# **Hypothesis testing** $\mathbf{O}$ Statistical test

## Hypothesis testing (Remind)

Basic concept of hypothesis testing

- Basic questions when given data
  - Difference
  - Equivalency

> What is a statistical hypothesis?

- Null hypothesis
- Alternative hypothesis



# Hypothesis testing Advanced concept of hypothesis testing (Today's topic)

- $\succ$  Why is the null hypothesis important for statistical test?
- What is the statistical (Hypothesis) test?
- > What is statistical power?
- $\succ$  What is test statistic?
- > What is P-value?



#### **Decision making** Decision making under uncertainty

- We have to make decisions even when you are unsure. School, marriage, therapy, jobs, whatever.
- Statistics provides an approach to decision making under uncertainty. Sort of decision making by choosing the same way you would bet. Maximize expected utility (subjective value).
- In inferential statistics, the null hypothesis is a general statement or default position that there is nothing new happening, like there is no association among groups, or no relationship between two measured phenomena.



# Main idea of Hypothesis testing

Statistical testing

A statistical hypothesis, sometimes called confirmatory data analysis, is a hypothesis that is testable on the basis of observing a process that is modeled via a set of random variables.

\*\*\* Main idea \*\*\*

- $\succ$  It is difficult to prove that a fact is "right".
- $\succ$  But it is easy to prove that it is "wrong".
- > The reason is that you only have to find one counter example.



# Two types of hypothesis Alternative and null hypothesis

Alternative hypothesis $H_1$	Null hypothesis <i>H</i> <sub>0</sub>
$H_1, H_A$	H <sub>0</sub>
Hypothesis as main purpose of the study	Hypothesis as opposed to purpose
Hypothesis that you want to claim to be right	Hypothesis that you want to claim to be wrong

> It is a way to induce contradiction after assuming null hypothesis is correct.



# Main idea of Hypothesis testing

Statistical testing (Cont.)

\*\*\* Main idea \*\*\*

- > It is difficult to prove that a fact is "right", but it is easy to prove that it is "wrong".
- $\succ$  The reason is that you only have to find one counter example.
  - All students in Convergence Informatics classes are  $H_1$ :
    - statistical experts.
- Let's set up the opposite hypothesis
  - All students in the Convergence Informatics class do not
  - $H_0$ : know statistics.



#### Main idea of Hypothesis testing Statistical testing (Cont.)

 $H_1$ : All students in Convergence Informatics classes are statistical experts.

Our goal is to prove that this proposition is "true"

 $H_0$ : All students in the Convergence Informatics class do not know statistics.

If we find evidence for "false" in this null hypothesis, the original proposition is "true."



# Main idea of Hypothesis testing

Another example

 $H_1$ : The height of men and women is different

Our goal is to prove that this proposition is "true"

 $H_0$ : The height of men and women is same

- Assuming that men and women are the same height, let's examine the men's and women's heights, respectively, to find the mean and variance.
  - If we find numerical evidence that men and women's height are different in collected data?
  - Original proposition is "true"



#### Two types of errors Statistical error

Statistical test results reject null hypothesis or not.

	H <sub>1</sub> : signal present	H <sub>0</sub> : signal absent
Detection	True Positive	False Positive <b>type I error</b>
Null result	False Negative <i>type II error</i>	True Negative
	TPF = sensitivity = power = TP/(TP+FN)	FPF = 1-specificity = 1-CL = FP/(FP+TN)



# **Two types of errors** Statistical error (i.e. classification?)

#### Statistical test results reject null hypothesis or not.

Truth Declaration	True Hypothesis H <sub>o</sub> (Null)	True Hypothesis H <sub>1</sub>
Declared Hypothesis H <sub>0</sub> (Null)	$\begin{split} P(H_0 \mid H_0) &= P_{Spec} = Specificity \\ &= \frac{\# H_0 \; Samples \; Declared \; H_0}{\# H_0 \; Samples} \end{split}$	$P(H_0   H_1) = P_{Miss} = P(Miss)$ $= \frac{\#H_1 Samples Declared H_0}{\#H_1 Samples}$
Declared Hypothesis H <sub>1</sub>	$P(H_1   H_0) = P_{FA} = P(False A larm)$ $= \frac{\# H_0 Samples Declared H_1}{\# H_0 Samples}$	$P(H_1   H_1) = P_D = P(Detection)$ $= \frac{\#H_1 Samples Declared H_1}{\#H_1 Samples}$
	$P(H_0   H_0) + P(H_1   H_0) = 1$	$P(H_0   H_1) + P(H_1   H_1) = 1$

Assume : Correct classification is given zero cost  $\Rightarrow C_{00} = C_{11} = 0$ Incorrect classification is given full cost  $\Rightarrow C_{01} = C_{10} = 1$ 



### **Several measures**

Confusion matrix (Basic)

- ➤ TP: true positive
- > TN: true negative
- > FP: false positive (Type I error)
- > FN: false negative (Type II error)



## Several measures (extension)

Confusion matrix (Advanced)

> TPR (True Positive Rate, Power, Sensitivity, Hit rate, Recall)

$$=\frac{TP}{TP+FN}=1-FPR$$

TNR (True Negative Rate, Specificity)

$$=\frac{TN}{TN+FP}=1-FPR$$

Be sure to understand and memorize this concept because it is the most widely used measure in statistics & any data science field.



## Several measures (extension)

Confusion matrix (Advanced)

PPV (Positive Predictive Value, Precision)

$$=\frac{TP}{TP+FP}=1-FDR$$

NPV (Negative Predictive Value)

$$=\frac{TN}{TN+FN}=1$$
 - FOR

FNR (False Negative Rate, Miss rate)

$$=\frac{FN}{FN+TP}=1-TPR$$

FPR (False Positive Rate, Fall-out)

$$=\frac{FP}{FP+TN}=1-TNR$$



# Several measures (extension) Confusion matrix (Advanced)

$$=\frac{FP}{FP+TP}=1-PPV$$

> ACC (Accuracy)

TP+TNTP+TN+FP+FN

➢ ERR (Error Rate)

$$=\frac{FP+FN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}=1-ACC$$



Body weight study

- The problem: In the 1970s, 20–29 year old men in the U.S. had a mean μ body weight of 170 pounds. Standard deviation σ was 40 pounds. We test whether mean body weight in the population now differs.
- > Null hypothesis  $H_{0:} \mu = 170$  ("no difference")
- ➤ The alternative hypothesis can be either  $H_{a:} \mu > 170$  (one-sided test) or  $H_{a:} \mu \neq 170$  (two-sided test)
- One side vs Two-side





Body weight study

The **sampling distributions of a mean (SDM)** describes the behavior of a sampling mean





# Illustrative example Body weight study



$$\overline{x} \sim N(\mu, SE_{\overline{x}})$$

where 
$$SE_{\overline{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$



Z-statistic (Test statistic)

This is an example of a one-sample test of a mean when  $\sigma$  is known. Use this statistic to test the problem:

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\overline{x}}}$$

where  $\mu_0 \equiv$  population mean assuming  $H_0$  is true

and 
$$SE_{\overline{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$



Z-statistic (Test statistic)

> This is an example of a one-sample test of a mean when  $\sigma$  is known. Use this statistic to test the problem:

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\overline{x}}}$$

where  $\mu_0 \equiv$  population mean assuming  $H_0$  is true

and 
$$SE_{\overline{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

When will the test statistic value increase or decrease?



Z-statistic (Test statistic)

- > For the illustrative example,  $\mu_0 = 170$
- > We know  $\sigma = 40$  and n = 64. Therefore

$$SE_{\overline{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{40}{\sqrt{64}} = 5$$

➢ If we found a sample mean of 173, then

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\overline{x}}} = \frac{173 - 170}{5} = 0.60$$



# Illustrative example Z-statistic (Test statistic)

> If we found a sample mean of 185, then

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\overline{x}}} = \frac{185 - 170}{5} = 3.00$$





> The *P*-value answer the question: What is the probability of the observed test statistic or one more extreme when  $H_0$  is true?

**Definition of P-value**: Under the assumption that H0 is correct, probability that the observed test statistic is skewed towards H1.

Convert z statistics to P-value :

- > For  $H_a$ :  $\mu > \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z > z_{stat}) = right-tail beyond <math>z_{stat}$
- For  $H_a$ :  $\mu < \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z < z_{stat}) = \text{left tail beyond } z_{stat}$
- > For  $H_a$ :  $\mu^1 \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = 2 \times \text{one-tailed } P$ -value





#### > One-sided *P*-value for $z_{stat}$ of 0.6







#### > One-sided *P*-value for $z_{\text{stat}}$ of 0.6





## Interpretation of P-value

Probability value

#### **Conventions\***

- >  $P > 0.10 \Rightarrow$  non-significant evidence against  $H_0$
- > 0.05 <  $P \le 0.10 \Rightarrow$  marginally significant evidence
- > 0.01 < P ≤ 0.05 ⇒ significant evidence against  $H_0$
- >  $P \le 0.01 \Rightarrow$  highly significant evidence against  $H_0$

#### Examples

- >  $P = .27 \Rightarrow$  non-significant evidence against  $H_0$
- >  $P = .01 \Rightarrow$  highly significant evidence against  $H_0$



#### \* It is unwise to draw firm borders for "significance"

#### 

- $\succ$  Let  $\alpha \equiv$  probability of erroneously rejecting  $H_0$
- > Set  $\alpha$  threshold (e.g., let  $\alpha$  = .10, .05, or whatever)
- $\succ$  Reject  $H_0$  when P ≤ α
- > Retain  $H_0$  when  $P > \alpha$
- $\succ$  Example: Set  $\alpha$  = .10. Find *P* = 0.27  $\Rightarrow$  retain *H*<sub>0</sub>
- $\succ$  Example: Set  $\alpha$  = .01. Find *P* = .001  $\Rightarrow$  reject *H*<sub>0</sub>





- A. Hypothesis statements  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs.}$   $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0 \text{ (two-sided) or}$   $H_a: \mu < \mu_0 \text{ (left-sided) or}$  $H_a: \mu > \mu_0 \text{ (right-sided)}$
- B. Test statistic
- C. P-value: convert  $z_{stat}$  to P value
- D. Significance statement (usually not necessary)



Lake Wobegon

- Let X represent Weschler Adult Intelligence scores (WAIS)
- Typically, X ~ N(100, 15)
- > Take n = 9 from Lake Wobegon population
- $\blacktriangleright$  Data  $\Rightarrow$  {116, 128, 125, 119, 89, 99, 105, 116, 118}
- Calculate: x-bar = 112.8
- Does sample mean provide strong evidence that population mean µ > 100?



Lake Wobegon

#### A. Hypotheses: $H_0: \mu = 100 \text{ versus}$ $H_a: \mu > 100 \text{ (one-sided)}$ $H_a: \mu \neq 100 \text{ (two-sided)}$

#### B. Test statistic:

$$SE_{\overline{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{9}} = 5$$
$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\overline{x}}} = \frac{112.8 - 100}{5} = 2.56$$







 $P = .0052 \Rightarrow$  it is unlikely the sample came from this null distribution  $\Rightarrow$  strong evidence against  $H_0$ 

Lake Wobegon

- > H<sub>a</sub>: µ ≠100
- ➤ Considers random deviations "up" and "down" from µ<sub>0</sub> ⇒tails above and below ±z<sub>stat</sub>
- Thus, two-sided P
  = 2 × 0.0052
  = 0.0104





# Statistical Power & Sample size

Concept of "Power"

Two types of decision errors:

Type I error = erroneous rejection of true  $H_0$ Type II error = erroneous retention of false  $H_0$ 

Decision	$H_0$ true	$H_0$ false
Retain $H_0$	Correct retention	Type II error
Reject $H_0$	Type I error	Correct rejection

Truth

 $\alpha \equiv$  probability of a Type I error

 $\beta \equiv$  Probability of a Type II error



Power of z-test

$$1 - \beta = \Phi \left( -z_{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}} + \frac{|\mu_0 - \mu_a| \sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \right)$$

,where

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\Phi(z)$  represent the cumulative probability of Standard Normal Z
- $\succ$   $\mu_0$  represent the population mean under the null hypothesis
- $\succ$   $\mu_a$  represents the population mean under the alternative hypothesis



## **Calculation of Power**

Example to calculate power in Z test

A study of n = 16 retains H<sub>0</sub>: μ = 170 at α = 0.05 (two-sided); σ is 40. What was the power of test's conditions to identify a population mean of 190?

$$-\beta = \Phi\left(-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \frac{|\mu_0 - \mu_a|\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-1.96 + \frac{|170 - 190|\sqrt{16}}{40}\right)$$
$$= \Phi(0.04)$$
$$= 0.5160$$



### **Calculation of Effective Sample size**

Example to calculate effective sample size in Z test

Sample size for one-sample z test:

$$n = \frac{\sigma^2 \left( z_{1-\beta} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^2}{\Delta^2}$$

, where

- $1 \beta \equiv$  desired power
- $\alpha \equiv$  desired significance level (two-sided)
- $\sigma \equiv$  population standard deviation

 $\Delta$  =  $\mu_0 - \mu_a$   $\equiv$  the difference worth detecting



**Example** Practice to calculate effective sample size

- > How large a sample is needed for a one-sample *z* test with 90% power and  $\alpha = 0.05$  (two-tailed) when  $\sigma = 40$ ?
- Let H<sub>0</sub>: μ = 170 and H<sub>a</sub>: μ = 190 (thus, Δ = μ<sub>0</sub> − μ<sub>a</sub> = 170 − 190 = −20)

$$n = \frac{\sigma^2 \left( z_{1-\beta} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^2}{\Delta^2} = \frac{40^2 \left( 1.28 + 1.96 \right)^2}{-20^2} = 41.99$$

We can conclude that up to 42 samples should be required





# End of Slide

### Next class

- $\succ$  This Thursday is a national holiday, so there is no class.
- In next Monday, we will learn clustering analysis.
- If possible, we will learn additional advanced hypothesis tests at that time together.
- In next Thursday, we will do practice statistical hypothesis test and clustering analysis using R programming.

